

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/441,140	Applicant(s) SOLOMON, BEKA	
	Examiner Kimberly Ballard	Art Unit 1649	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Gregory Emch. (3) Robert Wax.
(2) Elizabeth Kemmerer. (4) Roger Browdy and Harris Pitlick.

Date of Interview: 08 October 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 177 and 210-214.

Identification of prior art discussed: Anderson, Gaskin and Becker references.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Participants discussed outstanding rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph for new matter, under 35 U.S.C. 102 and under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kimberly A. Ballard/